

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,328	07/23/2003	Udo Schutz	PR-47	1350
7590 02/07/2006			EXAMINER	
Friedrich Kueffner			BASTIANELLI, JOHN	
Suite 910 317 Madison A	venue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10017			3751	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)



		Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		1	10/626,328	SCHUTZ, UDO				
		E	xaminer	Art Unit				
		1	ohn Bastianelli	3751				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appea	rs on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATI 37 CFR 1.136(a ication. ory period will a I, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUN). In no event, however, may a pply and will expire SIX (6) MO use the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of the capaboned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>17 Janu</i>	ary 2006					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 January 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
,—	Since this application is in condition for	/ —		tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 <i>July 2003</i></u> is/	/are: a)⊠	accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Exam	niner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	ocuments hocuments hother than the priority of the Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in a documents have bee PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/626,328 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lay US 6,302,132 in view of Erickson US 5,606,992.

Lay discloses a tapping valve constructed as a ball valve 120 having a valve housing 130 with an inlet connection connectable to a liquid container (suggested use) and an outlet connection 130 that is welded to a male part of a quick disconnect coupling 140 (seen as a male part as there is not a female part claimed and anything can be considered quick disconnect) for connecting a discharge hose (suggested use) with the valve housing and coupling made of high density polyethylene (col. 5, lines 28-35 and col. 6, lines 49-52). Lay lacks the polyethylene of the coupling being reinforced with glass fibers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make both the valve housing and coupling of Lay as glass fiber-reinforced as taught by Erickson in order to make both pieces stronger and the glass provides rapid crystallization during the molding process. The outlet connection is seen as having a reduced diameter portion compared to the outer diameter of the entire valve.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,328 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed January 17, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding applicant's argument that the present invention is made of different materials, the applicant fails to realize that glass fiber-reinforced high density polyethylene is https://doi.org/10.2007/j.just.high-density-polyethylene (valve housing) AND just high density polyethylene (male part of the coupling). The applicant has failed to differentiate the two in the claim and the claim language as currently written is met by the rejection. It appears that the applicant needs to amend the claim to reflect this feature.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-4921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,328 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Bastianelli Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

January 26, 2006